

How Safe is It to Prescribe Cephalosporins in Patients with Infectious Mononucleosis? Implications for Clinical ENT Practice

 Letter to the Editor
 

 Petros V. Vlastarakos¹ , Efterpi Michailidou² 
¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, MITERA Infirmary, Athens, Greece

²Medical Student, Athens University, Athens, Greece

Dear Editor,

Infectious mononucleosis is an acute self-contained disease, mostly caused by the Epstein–Barr virus (γ -subfamily herpes virus), and not rarely by cytomegalovirus (β -subfamily herpes virus). Clinical manifestations in the head and neck area include fever and cervical lymphadenopathy, as well as exudate in the faucial tonsils and pharyngitis. The latter manifestations frequently prompt an Ear, Nose & Throat (ENT) assessment. ENT surgeons, in contrast, are traditionally trained not to prescribe amoxicillin in cases of suspected infectious mononucleosis because of the risk of developing antibiotic-induced skin rash. Hence, they often resort to prescribing cephalosporins in doubtful cases, which are believed not to carry such a risk (1).

Nevertheless, the latter notion does not appear to be entirely accurate. Indeed, the maculopapular skin eruption, associated with the administration of amoxicillin in the course of infectious mononucleosis, is considered to represent a delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction (type IV allergic reaction). During this reaction, Th2 T cells are activated and secrete interleukins 4, 5, and 13, resulting in eosinophilic inflammation (1). In addition, the aforementioned reaction may be accompanied by the secretion of IgE and IgG4 from B-cells, thus linking the type IV to a potential immediate allergic reaction (type I allergic reaction) (2).

From a chemical perspective, penicillins have a β -lactam ring attached to a thiazolidine ring with

one side chain. IgE-mediated penicillin allergy (type I allergic reaction) is likely to include all β -lactam ring-containing antibiotics. However, it is suspected that non-IgE mediated penicillin allergy is often related to the side chain and may be one source of delayed nonurticarial rashes (3, 4). Conversely, cephalosporins have a β -lactam ring attached to a dihydrothiazine ring with two side chains. Allergic reactions to cephalosporins are increasingly attributed to the antigenic action of the side chain and not the β -lactam ring itself (5). In addition, the side chain of older cephalosporin members (e.g., cephalothin, cephaloridine, and cefamandole) is similar to that of penicillin (5) thus increasing the risk of cross-reactivity and type IV allergic reaction with penicillin and its derivatives.

Taking the aforementioned together, and considering the fact that drug sensitization in patients with infectious mononucleosis develops during the infection (type IV allergic reaction), we propose that the standard practice of favoring administration of cephalosporin over amoxicillin in cases of potential infectious mononucleosis by virtue of its safety only holds true for newer cephalosporins (1). Given also the risk of developing immediate type I reaction, which is universal to all β -lactam ring-containing antibiotics, cephalosporin administration per se should be advocated with caution in such patients, and if possible, avoided altogether. Clarithromycin and clindamycin may be suitable alternatives, should the clinician decide to prescribe antibiotics, with infectious mononucleosis being included in the differential diagnosis.



ORCID IDs of the authors:
 P.V.V. 0000-0002-2803-1971;
 E.M. 0000-0003-1875-0937.

Cite this article as: Vlastarakos PV, Michailidou E. How Safe is It to Prescribe Cephalosporins in Patients with Infectious Mononucleosis? Implications for Clinical ENT Practice. *Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2018; 56(3): 183-4.

Corresponding Author:
 Petros V. Vlastarakos; pevlast@hotmail.com

Received Date: 03.04.2018

Accepted Date: 19.04.2018

© Copyright 2018 by Official Journal of the Turkish Society of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery Available online at www.turkarchotolaryngol.net

DOI: 10.5152/tao.2018.3427

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - P.V.V., E.M.; Design - P.V.V., E.M.; Supervision - P.V.V., E.M.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - P.V.V.; Literature Search - P.V.V., E.M.; Writing - P.V.V.; Critical Reviews - P.V.V., E.M.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Dimitrios Davilis, MD, PhD, for triggering the debate about this topic.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

1. Ónodi-Nagy K, Kinyó Á, Meszes A, Garaczi E, Kemény L, Batta-Csörgő Z. Amoxicillin rash in patients with infectious mononucleosis: evidence of true drug sensitization. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol* 2015; 11: 1. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Yawalkar N. Maculopapular Drug Eruptions. In: Pichler WJ (ed). *Drug Hypersensitivity*. Basel, Karger, 2007; 242-50. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Romano A, Quarantino D, Di Fonso M, Papa G, Venuti A, Gasbarrini G. A diagnostic protocol for evaluating nonimmune reactions to aminopenicillins. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999; 103: 1186-90. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Wiess ME, Adkinson NF. Immediate hypersensitivity reactions to penicillin and related antibiotics. *Clin Allergy* 1988; 18: 515-40. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Robinson JL, Hameed T, Carr S. Practical aspects of choosing an antibiotic for patients with a reported allergy to an antibiotic. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 35: 26-31. [\[CrossRef\]](#)